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What shapes countries’ financial polices?

- **Left vs. right wings** (Brooks and Kurtz 2007; Quinn and Inclan, 1997).
- **Regime type** (Brune et al. 2001).
- **Diffusion** (Simmons and Elkins 2004).
- **Crises** (Rancière and Tornell 2016).
- **IMF stabilization program** (Mukherjee and Singer 2010).
**Cultural Finance**

*Micro analysis*

- Home vs. foreign bias (Karolyi 2016).
- Individuals tend to allocate a greater endowment to their cultural peers (Chuah et al. 2009).
- Individuals tend to trust foreigners with whom they share cultural characteristics (Sapienzena and Zingales, 2012).
- Trust is important in stock market participation (Margalit and Shayo, 2020).
Theoretical Framework
*The Micro explains the Macro*

- States are not seen as actors in their own right but acting through people.

- Policymakers, that decide whether or how fast to liberalize financially, belong to the same cultural environment as other individuals in their society.

- If culture is known to shape individuals’ tendency to integrate financially with foreigners, policymakers should act in the same way.
Theoretical Framework

Cultural Distance (interstate)

- Cultural distance stands for the level of cultural commonality between two countries.
- Cultural differences are measured by three factors: religion, ethnicity, and language.

Hypothesis 1:

Monadic: Countries closer to the US in terms of religion, ethnicity, language tend to be more open financially.

Dyadic: Countries with the same religion, ethnicity, and language have greater financial integration.
Theoretical Framework

*Cultural Homogeneity (intrastate)*

- Cultural homogeneity is the degree of uniformity of values and norms shared by a group of people.
- A country is considered culturally homogenous when most of its residents belong to the same in-group.

**Hypothesis 2:**
The more a country is culturally homogeneous, the lower the level of international financial liberalization.
Data & Method

- Using between and within estimates.
- Controls - regime type, right-left wings, ERS, GDP, GDP per-capita, veto players, IMF program.
DV-Financial Liberalization

- **De-jure (KAOPEN)** – Index of four indications of financial openness (presence of multiple ER, restrictions on current and capital account transactions, and surrender of export proceeds)

- **De-facto (KOF)** - Index of five indicators (FDIs, portfolio investments, international debt, international reserves, international income payments)
IV 2SLS

- To avoid reverse causality and deal with the problem of omitted variables, IV 2SLS estimator will be used.
- For this purpose, I use genetic factors and pathogen prevalence as the instrumental variables.
Exclusion Restriction

- Genetic factors and pathogen prevalence are “as good as random”.
- Financial liberalization is unlikely to affect genetic pools for many generations nor the prevalence of pathogens.
- Linked with personality traits (e.g., happiness) but not with evolutionary fitness (e.g., physical or mental disabilities) (Inglehart et al. 2014).
- It is unlikely that depression and anxiety can directly affect financial liberalization, except through cultural distance and homogeneity.
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Table 4 - IV 2SLS

Does Culture matter?
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Table 5

Culture, Trade Openness, and FDI Openness
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Conclusion

- Culturally homogeneous countries are less likely to liberalize financially and be open to FDIs.

- Results also show that when a country's cultural distinctiveness from the US increases, it is less likely to liberalize financially. The dyadic data support this finding.

- Results indicate that culture does not play a significant role in explaining countries’ trade openness policy.
Thank you!

Please contact me at: galbitton@mail.tau.ac.il
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DISCUSSANT THOUGHTS

Theoretical advances?
• What do we want to know?
• Explaining the macro-level with micro-level insights.

Methodological advances?
• Using a case study to emphasize the role of trust in different economic exchanges (finance vs. trade).
• Improving the dyadic regression analysis.

Empirical insights?
• How to reconcile results regarding control variables?
• What do we want to observe?